

## Eighth Edition (November 1996): Additions, Changes, and Deletions from the Seventh Edition (September 1995)

The eighth edition of this report added information about 38 innovative treatment technologies selected for remedial action under FY 1995 RODs and two treatment technologies at non-Superfund DoD and DOE sites, and two innovative treatment technologies selected for two RCRA corrective actions. Other changes are listed below.

REGION	SITE NAME, STATE (ROD DATE)	TECHNOLOGY (LISTED IN 7TH EDITION)	8TH EDITION			COMMENTS	CONTACTS/PHONE
			ADDED	DELETED	CHANGED TO		
1	New Bedford, MA (04/06/90)	Incineration (on site)		Yes		Remedy canceled because of community concerns. No alternative selected at this time.	David Dickerson 617-573-9632
1	Norwood PCBs, MA (09/29/89)	Solvent extraction		Yes		Remedy not implemented because of space constraints on-site, cost, and safety issues. New cleanup goals based on future land use and changes in risk assessment methodologies. Site will be capped instead. ROD Amendment issued on 5/17/96.	Bob Cianciarulo 617-573-5778
1	Wells G&H, MA (09/14/89)	Incineration (on site)			Incineration (off site)	Remedy changed to off-site incineration because of community concerns. Explanation of significant difference (ESD) signed 04/25/91.	Mary Garren 617-573-9613  Paula Fitzsimmons (MA) 617-223-5572
1	Wells G&H, OU1, MA (09/14/89)	Soil vapor extraction	Soil vapor extraction and in situ air sparging	Yes		Adding air sparging to existing SVE project to enhance pump-and-treat. Conducting SVE on a new area (New England Plastics). ESD to be issued.	Mary Garren 617-573-9613
1	Davis Liquid Waste, RI (09/29/87)	Incineration (on site)			Thermal desorption	Thermal desorption cheaper and more effective based on performance data. ESD signed on 7/19/96.	Neil Handler 617-543-9636
2	Brook Industrial Park, OU 1, NJ (09/30/94)	Incineration (on site)		Yes		Misinterpretation of ROD. Will conduct off-site incineration or disposal.	Donna Vizian 212-637-4295
2	De Rewal Chemical, NJ (09/29/89)	Incineration (on site)		Yes		Remedy changed to off-site disposal because more cost-effective. Much less volume of contaminated material than originally projected.	Romona Pezzella 212-637-4385
2	Lipari Landfill, NJ (07/11/88)	Incineration (on site)			Thermal desorption*	ROD specified thermal treatment of marsh sediments. Thermal desorption was selected as the treatment.	Fred Cataneo 212-637-4428
2	Applied Environmental Services, OU 1, NY (06/24/91)	Bioventing		Yes		Misinterpretation of ROD.	Maria Jon 212-637-3967  Gerald Ridder (NY) 518-457-0927

Information on the date and issuance of Explanations of Significant Differences (ESDs) and ROD Amendments is not complete.

## Eighth Edition (November 1996)(continued)

REGION	SITE NAME, STATE (ROD DATE)	TECHNOLOGY (LISTED IN 7TH EDITION)	8TH EDITION			COMMENTS	CONTACTS/PHONE
			ADDED	DELETED	CHANGED TO		
2	Circuitron Corporation, OU 1, NY (03/29/91)	Soil vapor extraction		Yes		Further investigation indicated that VOCs were below action levels.	Miko Fayon 212-637-4250  Thomas Simmons (USACE) 816-426-2296
2	Love Canal, NY (10/1/87)	Incineration (on site)			Incineration (off site)	PRP was conducting on-site incineration at another site. Waste was transported to that site for incineration. ESD issued 11/96.	Damian Duda 212-637-4269  Doug Carbarini 212-637-4263
2	Sarney Farm, NY (09/27/90)	Incineration (on site)			Thermal desorption*	Misinterpretation of the ROD.	Kevin Willis 212-637-4271
3	Delaware Sand & Gravel, DE (04/22/88)	Incineration (on site)			Soil vapor extraction* and bioremediation (in situ)*	Remedy was revised to address previously unrecognized site conditions. ROD amendment signed on 09/30/93. SVE subsequently changed to bioventing.	Eric Newman 215-566-3237
3	Southern Maryland Wood Treating, MD (06/29/88)	Incineration (on site)			Thermal desorption	Remedy changed to thermal desorption, because of cost and community concerns. ROD issued on 09/08/95.	Stephanie Dehnhard 215-566-3234
3	Eastern Diversified Metals, PA (03/29/91)	Incineration (on site)			Incineration (off site)	ROD specified on or off-site incineration. Off-site being conducted because of reduced amount of material to be treated.	Steven Donohue 215-566-3215
3	MW Manufacturing, PA (06/29/90)	Incineration (on site)		Yes		Pilot-scale trial burn could not achieve emission standards. Remedy to be determined; considering solidification/ stabilization at this time.	Bhupi Khona 215-566-3213
3	Sagertown Industrial, PA (01/29/93)	Incineration (on site)			Incineration (off site)	Remedy changed because of cost and faster treatment time. ESD signed on 03/09/95.	Steven Donohue 215-566-3215
3	Whitmoyer Laboratories, OU 2, PA (12/17/90)	Incineration (on site)			Incineration (off site)	Remedy changed because the volume of wastes was less than originally projected. ESD signed on 12/28/94.	Chris Corbet 215-566-3220

Information on the date and issuance of Explanations of Significant Differences (ESDs) and ROD Amendments is not complete.

## Eighth Edition (November 1996)(continued)

REGION	SITE NAME, STATE (ROD DATE)	TECHNOLOGY (LISTED IN 7TH EDITION)	8TH EDITION			COMMENTS	CONTACTS/PHONE
			ADDED	DELETED	CHANGED TO		
3	Rentokil, VA (06/22/93)	Thermal desorption		Yes		Groundwater modeling indicated that there would be no further groundwater contamination if source soils were left in place. Site will be capped. ROD amendment issued on 8/27/96.	Andrew Palestini 215-597-1286
3	Saunders Supply Co., OU 1, VA (09/30/91)	Dechlorination and Thermal desorption			Incineration (off site)	Remedy changed to off-site incineration due to implementability, short-term effectiveness, and cost. ROD Amendment issued on 9/27/96.	Andrew Palestini 215-597-1286
3	Ordnance Works Disposal, WV (03/31/88)	Incineration (on site)		Yes	Bioremediation (ex situ)*	Remedy changed because of community concerns. ROD amended in 1/89.	Melissa Whittington 215-566-3235
4	Ciba-Geigy (McIntosh Plant), OU 2, AL (09/30/91)	Thermal desorption			Incineration (on site)*	Treatability study showed that incineration was more cost-effective.	Charles L. King, Jr. 404-562-8931
4	Ciba-Geigy (McIntosh Plant), OU 2, AL (09/30/91)	Flushing (in situ)		Yes		Treatability study showed percolation from precipitation was just as effective. Minimal benefit would be gained from flushing (in situ).	Charles L. King, Jr. 404-562-8931
4	Ciba-Geigy (McIntosh Plant), OU 4, AL (07/14/92)	Thermal desorption			Incineration (on site)	Treatability study showed that incineration was more cost-effective.	Charles L. King, Jr. 404-562-8931
4	Ciba-Geigy (McIntosh Plant), OU 4, AL (07/14/92)	Flushing (in situ)		Yes		Treatability study showed percolation from precipitation was just as effective. Minimal benefit would be gained from flushing (in situ).	Charles L. King, Jr. 404-562-8931
4	Mowbray Engineering, AL (09/25/86)	Incineration (on site)		Yes	Solidification/ stabilization	Remedy changed because of cost.	Tim Woolheater 404-347-2643
4	American Creosote Works, Inc., OU 2, FL (02/03/94)	Surfactant flushing - groundwater		Yes		Determined that pump-and-treat alone would be effective.	Mark Fite 404-562-8927
4	Zellwood Groundwater, FL (12/17/87)	Incineration (on site)			Solidification/ stabilization*	Remedy changed because of community concerns and because the state would not concur with incineration. ROD amendment issued on 03/01/90.	Pam Scully 404-347-6246

Information on the date and issuance of Explanations of Significant Differences (ESDs) and ROD Amendments is not complete.

## Eighth Edition (November 1996)(continued)

REGION	SITE NAME, STATE (ROD DATE)	TECHNOLOGY (LISTED IN 7TH EDITION)	8TH EDITION			COMMENTS	CONTACTS/PHONE
			ADDED	DELETED	CHANGED TO		
4	Mathis Brothers Landfill (South Marble Top Road), GA (03/24/93)	Incineration (on site)			Incineration (off-site) and bioremediation (ex-situ)*	Remedy changed because of community concerns, cost-effectiveness, and decreased waste volume from original ROD. Bioremediation will treat dicamba wastes. Incineration (off site) will treat all other wastes.	Charles L. King, Jr. 404-562-8931
4	Smith's Farm Brooks, KY (09/29/89)	Incineration (on site)			Dechlorination*, thermal desorption* and, Solidification/stabilization*	Remedy changed because of community concerns. Amended remedy is dechlorination and thermal desorption followed by solidification/stabilization. ROD amendment issued on 09/30/91.	Antonio DeAngelo 404-562-8826
4	Aberdeen Pesticide Dump Fairway, NC (06/30/89)	Incineration (on site)			Thermal desorption *	Remedy changed because of community concerns, cost, and a preference for using an innovative technology. ROD amendment signed on 09/30/91.	Kay Crane 404-562-8795  Randy McElveen (NC) 919-733-2801
4	Cape Fear Wood Preserving, NC (06/30/89)	Bioremediation (ex situ) - slurry-phase		Yes		Original remedy called for soil washing followed by slurry-phase bioremediation of fines, based on an 80% reduction in volume of contaminated soil achieved by soil washing. Soil washing bidders claimed a 96% reduction in volume of contaminated soil, thus making slurry-phase bioremediation too costly for the 0.4% of contaminated fines remaining.	Jon Bornholm 404-562-8820
4	Geiger/C&M Oil, SC (06/01/87)	Incineration (on site)			Solidification/stabilization*	Further investigation found that organics were not present at their previous levels. ROD amendment issued 07/13/93.	Sherry Panabaker 404-562-8810
4	Para-Chem Southern, Inc., SC (09/27/93)	Bioremediation (ex situ) - slurry-phase		Yes		Remedy canceled because of concerns about feasibility, performance, and treatment time. Will excavate and dispose off-site.	Judy Canova 803-896-4046
4	American Creosote Works (Jackson Plant), TN (01/05/89)	Incineration (on site)		Yes		Action completed as a removal by excavating and disposing off site. ESD issued in 1992.	Femi Akindale 404-347-7791
5	Acme Solvent Reclaiming, IL (09/27/85)	Incineration (on site)		Yes		PRPs excavated and disposed of soil off-site.	Deborah Orr 312-886-7576
5	Fort Wayne Reduction, IN (08/26/88)	Incineration (on site)			Incineration (off site)	Remedy changed to ROD contingency off-site incineration because of community concerns, cost, and implementability.	Fred Mickey 312-886-5123

Information on the date and issuance of Explanations of Significant Differences (ESDs) and ROD Amendments is not complete.

## Eighth Edition (November 1996)(continued)

REGION	SITE NAME, STATE (ROD DATE)	TECHNOLOGY (LISTED IN 7TH EDITION)	8TH EDITION			COMMENTS	CONTACTS/PHONE
			ADDED	DELETED	CHANGED TO		
5	Ninth Avenue Dump, IN (06/30/89)	Incineration (on site)			Soil vapor extraction	Remedy changed because of cost. Soil vapor extraction will treat larger area than soil flushing remedy that was completed in 1994. Soil flushing removed most of the heavier contaminants. ROD amendment signed on 9/13/94.	Bernard Schorle 312-886-4746
5	Bofors Nobel, MI (09/17/90)	Incineration (on site)		Yes		Remedy changed from on-site incineration to disposal in an on-site landfill because of cost. Volume of material to be treated much greater than expected. ROD amendment signed on 07/22/92. Now proposing containment via slurry wall because of cost.	John Fagiolo 312-886-0800
5	Forest Waste Products, MI (03/31/88)	Incineration (on site)			Incineration (off site)	Original ROD specified either on-site or off-site incineration as the remedy. ESD signed on 05/04/93.	Beth Reiner 312-886-6337
5	Ott/Story/Cordova Chemical, MI (09/27/93)	Thermal desorption		Yes		The state revised the cleanup goals. Consequently, the amount of soils requiring remediation was reduced. Also shallow groundwater present at the site would continue to contaminate clean backfilled soil. Cost was also a factor. No alternative remedy has been selected at this time.	John Fagiolo 312-886-0800
5	Springfield Township Dump, MI (09/29/90)	Incineration (on site)		Yes		Remedy canceled because of community concerns. ROD amendment projected to be issued in Fall 1996. Remedy to be determined.	Kashual Khanna 312-353-2663
5	Thermo-Chem, Inc., OU 1, MI (09/30/91)	Soil vapor extraction	Air sparging			Added to enhance SVE system.	Jim Hahnenberg 312-353-4213
5	Arrowhead Refinery Co., MN (09/30/86)	Incineration (on site)			Solvent extraction*	Remedy was changed to solvent extraction because of cost-effectiveness and short-term effectiveness. ROD amendment signed on 02/09/94.	Edwin Smith 312-353-6571
5	Ritari Post and Pole, OU 1, MN (06/30/94)	Incineration (on site)			Incineration (off site)	Misinterpretation of ROD. Remedy now being reconsidered. Capping is a contingency.	Ramon Torres 312-886-3010
5	Fields Brook, OH (09/30/86)	Incineration (on site)			Incineration (off site)	Remedy changed because of cost, community concerns, and reduced concentration. ESD issued on 8/15/97.	Ed Hanlon 312-353-9228
5	Pristine, OH (12/31/87)	Incineration (on site)			Soil vapor extraction* and thermal destruction*	Misinterpretation of ROD specified in situ vitrification. This remedy was changed to SVE and thermal destruction. Thermal desorption was selected as the thermal destruction technology. ROD amendment issued on 03/30/90. (see below)	Tom Alcamo 312-886-7278
5	Pristine, OH (03/30/90) (Amendment)	Incineration (on site)			Thermal desorption*	1990 ROD amendment specified thermal destruction. Thermal desorption selected as the thermal destruction technology.	Tom Alcamo 312-886-7278

Information on the date and issuance of Explanations of Significant Differences (ESDs) and ROD Amendments is not complete.

## Eighth Edition (November 1996)(continued)

REGION	SITE NAME, STATE (ROD DATE)	TECHNOLOGY (LISTED IN 7TH EDITION)	8TH EDITION			COMMENTS	CONTACTS/PHONE
			ADDED	DELETED	CHANGED TO		
5	Skinner Landfill OU 2, OH (06/04/93)	Soil vapor extraction		Yes		Further investigation through a feasibility study indicated that the site conditions would not be amenable to SVE. Will cap instead.	Jamey Bell 312-886-6436
5	Van Dale Junkyard, OH (03/31/94)	Bioremediation (in situ) - other		Yes		Predesign sampling indicated that contaminant levels had decreased. No active bioremediation is occurring. The site will be capped and will rely on natural attenuation with monitoring.	Lawrence Schmitt 312-353-6565  James Campbell 412-351-6132
5	Zanesville Well Field, OH (09/30/91)	Soil vapor extraction	Air sparging			Implemented by PRPs to accelerate groundwater remediation.	Dave Wilson 312-886-1476
5	Zanesville Well Field, OH (09/30/91)	Soil washing		Yes		Will excavate and dispose off-site because soil volume was much smaller than originally projected.	Dave Wilson 312-886-1476
5	City Disposal Corporation Landfill, WI (09/28/92)	Soil vapor extraction		Yes		Rise in groundwater table prevented implementation of SVE. Remedy changed to capping with gas collection.	Russ Hart 312-886-4844  Mike Schmoller (WI) 608-275-3303
5	Hagen Farm, Groundwater Control OU, WI (09/30/92)	Bioremediation (in situ) - groundwater		Yes		Treatability studies indicated that bioenhancement would not provide any additional benefit. Relying on natural attenuation. Explanation of Significant Differences (ESD) signed on 08/27/96.	Steve Padovani 312-353-6755
6	Vertac, AR (09/27/90)	Incineration (on site)		Yes		Incinerator would not function properly. Community preferred landfilling and was cheaper. ROD amendment issued 9/17/96.	Phillip Allen 214-665-8516
6	Gulf Coast Vacuum Services, OU 1, LA (09/30/92)	Incineration (on site)			Bioremediation (ex situ)-land treatment	Agreement between PRPs and EPA to meet the treatment standards using bioremediation.	Kathleen Aisling 214-665-8509
6	MOTCO, TX (03/15/85)	Incineration (on site)			Incineration (off site)	Remedy changed because of contractor problems and cost. ESD has been issued.	Mary Ann Abramson 214-665-6754
6	Petro-Chemical Systems, Inc. OU 2, TX (09/06/91)	Air sparging			Bioremediation (in situ)-groundwater	Bioremediation thought to be more effective.	Chris Villarreal 214-665-6758

Information on the date and issuance of Explanations of Significant Differences (ESDs) and ROD Amendments is not complete.

## Eighth Edition (November 1996)(continued)

REGION	SITE NAME, STATE (ROD DATE)	TECHNOLOGY (LISTED IN 7TH EDITION)	8TH EDITION			COMMENTS	CONTACTS/PHONE
			ADDED	DELETED	CHANGED TO		
7	People's Natural Gas, IA (06/16/91)	Bioremediation (in situ) - other	Air sparging				Diana Engeman 913-551-7797
7	Hastings Groundwater Contamination (East Industrial), NE (09/28/90)	Incineration (on site)			Incineration (off site)	Remedy changed because volume of soil was less than originally projected. More cost-effective to incinerate off-site. ROD amendment issued 02/28/95.	Ron King 913-551-7063
7	Sherwood Medical, NE (09/28/93)	Thermal desorption			Soil vapor extraction (ex situ)	Soil vapor extraction (ex situ) will be more cost-effective. ESD issued 09/05/95.	Steve Auchterlonie 913-551-7778
7	Valley Park TCE Site, Wainwright OU, MO (09/29/94)	In situ air stripping		Yes		Air sparging would be difficult to implement and nearby residences might be adversely affected. Will do pump-and-treat instead. ESD issued on 04/02/96.	Steve Auchterlonie 913-551-7778  Dave Mosby (MO) 573-751-1288
7	Valley Park TCE Site, Wainwright OU, MO (09/24/94)	Thermal desorption			Soil vapor extraction (ex situ)*	Soil vapor extraction (ex situ) more cost-effective. ESD issued on 04/02/96.	Steve Auchterlonie 913-551-7778  Dave Mosby (MO) 573-751-1288
8	Broderick Wood Projects, CO (06/30/88)	Incineration (on site)		Yes	Incineration (off site)*	Remedy canceled based on new technical data and cost. Will excavate and recycle and incinerate off-site. ROD amendment signed on 09/24/91.	Armando Saenz 303-312-6559
8	Lockheed/Martin (Denver Aerospace), CO (Remedial Action) (09/24/90)	Soil vapor extraction and thermal desorption		Listing as a Superfund remedial action has been deleted.		Remedial action being handled as a RCRA corrective action.	George Dancik 303-312-6935  Charles Johnson (CO) 303-692-3348
8	Idaho Pole Company, MT (09/28/92)	Flushing (in situ)			Bioremediation (ex situ) - land treatment*	Further investigation indicated flushing (in situ) would not be effective. Soils were excavated and will be treated as part of the land treatment remedy. ESD issued on 05/21/96.	Jim Harris 406-441-1150

Information on the date and issuance of Explanations of Significant Differences (ESDs) and ROD Amendments is not complete.

## Eighth Edition (November 1996)(continued)

REGION	SITE NAME, STATE (ROD DATE)	TECHNOLOGY (LISTED IN 7TH EDITION)	8TH EDITION			COMMENTS	CONTACTS/PHONE
			ADDED	DELETED	CHANGED TO		
8	Summitville Mine, OU 1, CO (12/15/94)	This is a FY 1995 ROD and was not listed in the seventh edition. The FY 1995 ROD specified bioremediation (in situ)		Yes		When heap leach pad rinsed with water, cyanide concentrations were reduced and bioremediation was not necessary. ESD issued on 6/4/97.	James Hanley 303-312-6725  Victor Kettlepepper 303-312-6578
9	Motorola 52nd Street, AZ (09/30/88)	Soil vapor extraction	Air sparging				Fred Schauffler 415-744-2359  Mana Font 602-207-4194
9	Seal Beach Navy Weapons Station, IR Site 14, CA (DoD Action)	Soil vapor extraction		Yes		Research project, not a full-scale cleanup.	Ken Reynolds 619-532-2912
9	Hexcel, CA (09/21/93)	Air sparging, bioremediation (in situ) - groundwater, soil vapor extraction		Yes		Hexcel was removed from the National Priorities List (NPL) on November 1, 1993.	Mark Johnson 510-286-0305
9	Intel Mountain View (355 Middlefield Road), CA (06/09/89)	Soil vapor extraction		Yes		Groundwater table rose, leaving too little unsaturated soil to warrant SVE. Soils were excavated and aerated.	Elizabeth Adams 415-744-2235  Michael Maley 510-450-6159
9	Koppers Company, Inc. (Oroville Plant), CA (09/13/89)	Soil washing		Yes		Further analysis determined soil washing would be ineffective, more dioxins discovered and land use scenario changed. Soil will be disposed of in a landfill with the potential for two percent of the most contaminated soil treated through solidification/stabilization. ROD amendment issued on 8/29/96.	Fred Schauffler 415-744-2359
9	Koppers Company, Inc. (Oroville Plant), CA (09/13/89)	Bioremediation (in situ) - other		Yes		Presence of metals and dioxins made bioremediation infeasible, and land use scenario changed. Soil will be disposed of in a landfill with the potential for two percent of the most contaminated soil treated by solidification/stabilization. ROD amendment issued on 8/29/96.	Fred Schauffler 415-744-2359
9	Middlefield-Ellis-Whisman (MEW) - Siemens/Sobrato (455 & 487 Middlefield Road), CA (06/30/93)	Soil vapor extraction	Air sparging				Elizabeth Adams 415-744-2235

Information on the date and issuance of Explanations of Significant Differences (ESDs) and ROD Amendments is not complete.



## Eighth Edition (November 1996)(continued)

REGION	SITE NAME, STATE (ROD DATE)	TECHNOLOGY (LISTED IN 7TH EDITION)	8TH EDITION			COMMENTS	CONTACTS/PHONE
			ADDED	DELETED	CHANGED TO		
9	Van Waters and Rogers, CA (09/30/91)	Soil vapor extraction		Yes		Site was proposed for listing on the NPL but has been removed. Responsibility was picked up under RCRA and subsequently dropped from RCRA authority.	Belinda Wei 415-744-2280  Duazo Ricco 510-268-0837
10	Eielson AFB, OUs 3, 4, and 5, AK (9/22/95)	This is a FY 1995 ROD and was not listed in the seventh edition. The FY 1995 ROD specified bioventing and soil vapor extraction.		Yes		Remedy changed to institutional controls because there was not enough contamination present to warrant active remediation. Groundwater also was contained, preventing risk due to groundwater.	Mary Jane Nearman 206-553-6642
10	Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Pit 9 (OU7-10), ID (09/23/93)	Solvent extraction	Vitrification			Misinterpretation of the ROD.	Mary Jane Nearman 206-553-6642
10	USDOE Hanford 100 Area, OUs 100-BC-1, 100-DR-1, 100-HR-1, WA (9/27/95)	This is a FY95 ROD that was not listed in the seventh edition. The FY95 ROD specified thermal desorption for soil contaminated with organic compounds		Yes		Remedy changed to on-site disposal because further investigation did not indicate that organics were present.	Doug Sherwood 509-376-9529  Audrey Dove 509-376-6865

Information on the date and issuance of Explanations of Significant Differences (ESDs) and ROD Amendments is not complete.